Central University Research Ethics Committee (CUREC)

Approved Procedure: IDREC_15_Version 2.2

Title: Research on Teachers and Teaching in Educational Settings



RESEARCH ON TEACHERS AND TEACHING IN EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS

1. SCOPE

This Approved Procedure aims to enable trained researchers to use opt-out procedures for a range of typical classroom research methods, subject to certain constraints and protocols. Opt-out' means that students may be included unless they, or their parents/guardians, actively say 'no'. Researchers in education often study teachers and teaching in normal educational settings in mainstream classes. All students in school are considered 'people whose ability to give free and informed consent is in question'.

This Approved Procedure covers situations in which research focuses on normal mainstream classroom activity. The meaning of 'normal' is to be agreed between researcher and teachers. The use of 'normal' in this document is to ensure that, under this Approved Procedure, students are not exposed to, nor asked to do, anything that would not be within the range of usual educational practice for that site. Classes are selected using purposive or opportunistic sampling, or are used as case studies. Students are research participants only because they are normal participants in the context.

This Approved Procedure is not appropriate for studies that focus on the learning, behaviour, or developmental trajectories of individual students.

For a full range of students in a particular classroom to be included in the research, the sample must not be skewed towards those from well-organised households who respond readily to messages that go home, and reasonable efforts must have been made to contact parents and ensure they understand the research. Because of confidentiality, the onus for this rests with the school and this Approved Procedure seeks to "minimise the impact of [...] research on the normal working and workloads of participants". (Para 19 BERA guidelines) while ensuring that participants are fully informed, as far as is possible.

This Approved Procedure is in full accordance with the Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research, Fourth edition (2018). In particular, it conforms to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Children and Young Persons Act (2008). It also conforms to research practice as it has developed in the Department of Education.

Details of the types of research covered by this Approved Procedure, and their related constraints and procedures, are in section **12 Further information**.

2. TRAINING OF RESEARCH STAFF

All researchers will have had appropriate research training, and student researchers have agreed the research with a supervisor.

Researchers need to be sensitive to Child Protection issues, and avoid working in situations that could leave them exposed to accusations of abuse. They must follow the guidance set out in the

University's 'Safeguarding Code of Practice', especially 'guidance for activities involving adults at risk or children'. Researchers must complete the online training course 'An introduction to Safeguarding' provided by the Oxford Safeguarding Children Board, as well as undertaking risk assessments of the proposed research. Any risk assessment should also include details of how research participants can report concerns about any member of the University with whom they will be interacting.

Researchers should also take responsibility for complying with safeguarding regulations and research practices which relate to the setting(s) (country, institution) of their research. As well as such compliance, researchers should consult guidance from the relevant professional associations. For example, for research settings in the UK, it is likely that researchers will require Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance - detailed guidance on obtaining safeguarding clearance can be found on the DBS website. Note that there are different levels of DBS check – the level you require will depend on the frequency and type of activity carried out.

3. METHODS FOR RECRUITING PARTICIPANTS

Methods for recruitment/sampling will depend on the research. The study must be agreed with the school Head Teacher and the teacher(s) concerned. Usually the first contact is with the teacher with whom the research is to be conducted, a head of department, or a Head Teacher. A formal letter to the Head Teacher should follow, including the information and requirements for the research, and a formal request to host the research. The classes for the research can then be chosen and the procedures for consent agreed with the school. It should be noted that agreement by the University for the opt-out procedure to be used does not commit the school to this, and they may still request opt-in procedures be used. In all types of setting, it is recommended also to seek assent from the students themselves. Students must be made aware that they are free not to take part even if their parents/guardians have consented.

Researchers and the school need to ensure that non-English-literate parents are contacted in an appropriate way, and that parents and students who may, for cultural reasons, be especially sensitive about recordings, fully understand the implications. In addition, the researcher will rely primarily on the teacher's guidance on which students are appropriate to be included in the research. Teachers who are the participants in the research must sign a consent form for the research to proceed, and undertake to maintain anonymity of individual students, and to provide any necessary anonymous secondary data.

4. INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PARTICIPANTS

The information provided should be appropriate to your specific research and presented in an accessible way. If there is not enough information, potential participants might not be able to make an informed decision. On the other hand, if the information sheet is too long or unclear (e.g. through using overly-technical language) they might not read it properly or it could deter them from taking part. Most word-processing packages provide readability statistics for a document, and one should aim for a 12-year-old (Year 7) reading level for adults.

Please refer to the <u>Information Sheet templates</u> associated with this Approved Procedure, which should be adapted for the research.

5. CONSENT OF PARTICIPANTS

Group A activities, which do not alter the students' normal classroom experience and/or which focus solely on the teacher will need only signed informed consent from the Head Teacher and

teacher. Sample letters should be provided with the ethics application. All information will be shared with the teacher. For Group A, there are no vulnerable participants and no additional or abnormal activities involving students.

Group B activities do not place students at educational risk, do not store images of students, and do not identify or focus on individual students. Students and parents should be fully informed via a parent/guardian information sheet and a separate information sheet, as appropriate, for the students. In all types of setting, it is recommended also to seek at least oral assent from the students themselves. Students must be made aware that they are free not to take part even if their parents/guardians have consented. Parents/Guardians should be provided with an opt-out form, and can choose to opt out at any stage. Students who do not take part in the research must have alternative provision of equivalent educational value, or in other cases be placed where they cannot be observed or recorded. All reasonable efforts must be made to contact parents and ensure they understand the information about the study, but confidentiality means that this onus often rests with the teacher or other school staff. In some contexts, such as independent boarding schools, the school itself is in loco parentis in this respect. Reasonable time should be allowed for parents to return a signed opt-out form.

The following should be considered by researchers and the schools they work with to ensure information reaches a student's home:

- Physical letters should have names of students written on them, to indicate clearly, which students have been given a letter. If records show that a letter has not been sent, these students must not be included in the research
- Targeted email from the school to parents of the involved classes to inform them about the research, including parent information sheet and opt-out form as attachments
- Targeted text from the school to parents of involved classes to inform them of upcoming research (drawing their attention to typed letter in schoolbags and/or email as appropriate)
- Possible follow-up text to remind parents of deadline for opt-out return
- Information included in school newsletter

Please refer to the Opt-out Form template associated with this Approved Procedure, and adapt this for the research as appropriate.

Please also see CUREC's guidance on the informed consent process.

6. COMPENSATION

Apart from the implicit benefits to teachers and students of having extra attention paid to their work, no rewards will be given in exchange for participation in the research.

7. POTENTIAL RISKS TO PARTICIPANTS/RESEARCHERS/OTHERS AND WHAT WILL BE DONE TO MINIMISE

Researchers should take advice from the Department and host schools about DBS clearance (see the <u>Disclosure and Barring Service website</u> for more information). Researchers must be sensitive to child protection issues and not work in situations that could leave them open to accusations of abuse. Researchers must be aware of, and conform to, the requirements of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR); the Children and Young Persons Act (2008); and the BERA Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research (2018).

Please also refer to the Parent/Guardian Information Sheet template associated with this Approved Procedure.

8. MONITORING AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE OR UNFORESEEN EVENTS

The research will take place in the classroom under the supervision of the classroom teacher. Any adverse or unforeseen events will be reported to the Head Teacher.

9. COMMUNICATION OF RESULTS

The school should receive a brief report about the research, and parents be informed that this will be available.

In all publications arising from the research, identities of the school, teachers and students will be concealed unless further, specific, informed, opt-in permission is obtained. Teachers should be informed about any reports of the research which are going to be in the public domain, but do not need to be informed about reports which are only going to be in the research domain.

It is usual to give teachers copies of any video and audio material arising from their practice, and copies of any transcripts made.

10. RESPONSIBILITY OF RESEARCHER / CONFIDENTIALITY

Research under this Approved Procedure does not focus on individual students as participants. It is therefore unlikely that any data would accrue, which would give reliable information about individual students that is not already available to the school in other ways. Data about the class that is of direct interest in the study may be kept confidential from the teacher if this is necessary, whilst it would be unethical not to share general matters of concern with the teacher if they are unaware of them (see BERA guidelines paragraphs 27-29). Data collected under an ethically approved research protocol citing this Approved Procedure should not be withheld if it has serious implications for the student and might give access to services that could be of help, but matters of this nature should be discussed with the teacher rather than with parents. It has to be considered that the researcher may have a skewed, partial, perspective and may not be an expert in special needs.

11. DATA MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION

The research must be conducted in accordance with the <u>University's Policy on the Management of Data Supporting Research Outputs</u>; CUREC's <u>Best Practice Guidance 09 on Data collection</u>, <u>protection and management</u>; and Research Data Oxford's <u>guidance on data backup</u>, <u>storage and security</u>.

Each student whose words or work is used in the study as an individual is to be given a participant number and the key to these must be kept secure. Written work must be de-identified before being handed to the researcher. Any video or audio material on which students are potentially identifiable (images and/or voice recordings) must be kept securely (ideally encrypted) in a locked cabinet or on a password-protected desktop computer. The material may not be stored on laptops or websites, or shared with anyone outside the research team. Once an anonymous transcription has been produced, the original should be destroyed, unless retention can be justified.

Where possible, opt-out forms should be returned directly to the school and the school should then provide the researchers with a list of students that may be included in the study. Where researchers receive opt-out forms directly, these should be taken to the school when the researchers visit, and then be left at the school. It is then up to the school to determine for how long they will retain opt-out forms.

Where data has been anonymised (all identifying information removed, including any linkage document), there is no limit as to how long this may be retained by the researchers. However, the period of retention should be stated on participant information.

12. FURTHER INFORMATION

BERA ethical guidelines, under which the research must operate.

Economic and Social Research Ethics Council (ESRC) Research Ethics Guidance.

Group A research activities:

Classroom research typically includes:

- observation of teachers
- audio-recording of the teacher with lapel microphone
- agreed curriculum-related non-invasive normal activities such as paper, verbal, computer
 tasks set by the teacher as part of the curriculum (excluding sensitive topics sometimes
 taught during pastoral, social and health education lessons) where the focus is not on
 individual performance on such tasks but how the tasks relate to the teaching

For this kind of research, opt-in informed consent of the teacher is usually sufficient, but researchers are advised to follow the ethics procedure of the school.

Group B research activities:

Classroom research also typically includes the following methods, in which there may be some focus on students as participants in the classroom:

- observation that includes students in normal classroom behaviour
- audio-recording with microphones which include students' voices in normal classroom interactions
- video-recording focused on teachers
- analysis of de-identified written artefacts from the lesson
- pedagogic activity such as researcher teaching the whole class, or having teacher-like conversations with individual or small groups of students in the classroom, within the usual curriculum
- teaching innovations, such as trialling innovative methods in a systematic way

All the above methods are often used in schools for development purposes and internal information purposes. The difference between research activities in Groups A & B is that in Group A there is no need at all to focus on students. In Group B there is the possibility that individual students will be the temporary focus in their normal classroom participation, but the unit of analysis is the teacher and/or the classroom situation and/or the lesson, not individual students. This Approved Procedure recognises that opt-out procedures are adequate for these types of research, so long as the research does not:

(a) disrupt the normal range of classroom practices as agreed by the school

- (b) identify individual students by name or by video image, but instead uses codes to maintain anonymity
- (c) focus on individual students' behaviour or learning, nor on named work
- (d) place any student at risk educationally or in any other way

and is agreed by the Head Teacher of the school and the relevant teacher(s). Students and parents are fully informed and can opt-out at any stage without educational risk.

Types of research in group B

Observation

Students' real names must not be used, nor obviously identifiable features. Student ID numbers must be created at the start of the research and used throughout observation notes, and the key to these numbers must be kept secure and only accessible to those members of the research team who need to use it. Events the researcher sees or hears which are of any concern and might relate to Health & Safety or Child Protection issues must be reported to the school safeguarding lead. No information can be recorded about students whose parents/guardians completed an opt-out form.

Audio-recording

Normally students will not be identifiable from audio recording due to them not being the focus of the research, and their names not being collected. Identifying sounds can be disguised in any use of the recording outside school and the research team's use. Names uttered in the sound recording should, where practical, be digitally cut or obscured and the original recording destroyed. Transcriptions should be accessible only for research purposes. Students whose parents/guardians completed an opt-out form must be positioned somewhere which avoids accidental capture while ensuring that they have their normal educational experience.

Video

The camera will point at the teacher, and avoid deliberate filming of students' faces or otherwise identifiable images. If a student walks into view, or goes to work in the area at which the camera is directed, the identifiable image will be removed from the electronic record. For example, this can be done *in situ* by covering the lens and recording in sound only, or by taking steps within a reasonable timeframe after recording to edit out the images of students. Images of students accidentally captured will not be reproduced or used for research purposes in an identifiable way, nor stored electronically in an accessible form. It is not just a matter of obscuring visual images, but of obscuring identity within the clues provided by a familiar context. Researchers should have such procedures in place at the planning stage. Students whose parents/guardians completed an opt-out form must not be captured on film at all; it is usually possible to seat them somewhere that avoids accidental capture while ensuring that they have their normal educational experience.

Analysis of written artefacts

This research does not focus on individual students, so written artefacts are only used to give depth and full information about what was being done in the lesson, not as records of individual learning. The written material being used in this kind of research is that which is produced in the normal work of the lesson and has to be de-identified before being handed to the researcher, excluding that of opted-out students. This process must be included in the agreement with the teacher.

Pedagogic intervention

Some classroom research entails the researcher acting as a support teacher, or questioning individual or small groups of students in the classroom in ways typical of teachers and teaching assistants. Many classroom researchers are also qualified teachers so their ability to act appropriately is not an issue. Any pedagogic intervention by the researcher must be agreed with the teacher and must be within the normal practices and curriculum of the classroom, teachers and teaching assistants. Students whose parents/guardians completed an opt-out form must have alternative educational provision, which is equivalent to what they would have without the intervention. This Approved Procedure is not appropriate for deliberate non-curriculum innovations.

Teaching innovation

Researchers may agree with teachers a particular way of teaching for a certain period of time, and monitor both the methods and the results. To obtain permission from a school for such a teaching innovation, researchers must produce a full justification for this research and convince the school that what they are going to do will not put students at risk educationally. This process does not therefore have to be repeated with every student and every parent. Instead, the information letter should be from the school and researcher jointly, and the opt-out procedure used. This procedure recognises that educational development is a normal part of school life, and that teachers constantly change the way the curriculum is organised, try new ways to teach, and alter the nature of tasks. Thus, as long as the school supports the research, it can be seen as within normal school practice. Students whose parents/guardians completed an opt-out form must have alternative educational provision elsewhere that is equivalent to what they would have without the innovation.

13. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

- Information Sheet for Parents/Guardians
- Information Sheet for students aged 6-10 years
- Information Sheet for students aged 11-15 years
- Assent form for under 16's
- Parent/Guardian opt-out Form
- Consent form for teachers
- Letter to Head Teacher

14. CHANGE HISTORY

Version No.	Significant Changes	Previous Version No.
1.0	Incorporates reference to the University Safeguarding Code of Practice and related requirements. Retitled `Approved Procedure' (previously `Protocol'). Approved by CUREC, 19 November 2015	N/A
1.1	Updated hyperlinks for new CUREC website	1.0
1.2	Updated for General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)	1.1
1.3	Removed reference to sections of the old CUREC 1 checklist	1.2
2.0	Quinquennial Review to ensure continued suitability and accuracy of links Update to section 4 to replace specific list with reference to associated documents Addition of text about seeking assent from students Addition of considerations to ensure information about the research reaches a student's home Revision of section 11 on Data Protection Addition of various template documents	1.3
2.1	Updated to improve accessibility	2.0
2.2	Administrative changes	2.1