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1. PURPOSE
The purpose of this SOP is to describe the standard procedures to be followed for handling complaints arising from clinical research when conducted by the University of Oxford.

2. INTRODUCTION
The Health Research Authority gives guidance on the content of information provided to participants, and this includes the requirement for an outline of how complaints can be made. The information should contain the contact name of the Investigator/Research Team who should attempt to resolve the complaint in the first instance. However, a participant may not wish to complain to the Investigator team if the latter are the object of the complaint, and may wish to make a more formal complaint. Details as to how to do this, such as Sponsor contact details, should also be included in the written information given to participants. It is essential that any complaints arising are routed through the correct channels.

3. SCOPE
The scope of this procedure is for all clinical research studies sponsored by the University of Oxford, but may also be used for other clinical research studies at the discretion of the unit.

4. DEFINITIONS
Participant Complaint
Any report of dissatisfaction or general enquiry which expresses concern with the way in which a participant has been treated, or about the conduct of a study, made either by the participant or on their behalf, and which requires a response.

Serious Complaint
A complaint that has not been satisfactorily resolved by the study team and therefore requires Sponsor involvement and, through them, the Sponsor Insurance Officer. For example, this should always include instances where the subject matter concerns: harm to participants (other than where it can be readily demonstrated that the harm complained of was an expected progression of the disease, unconnected with the trial); any breach of confidentiality; initial complainant dissatisfaction following first response or any other matter where the possibility of legal action is intimated.

Serious Breach
A Serious Breach is a breach which is likely to affect to a significant degree (a) the safety, physical or mental integrity of the subjects of the trial or, (b) the scientific value of the trial.

5. RESPONSIBILITIES
Study Team
Review and resolve the complaint, if appropriate as outlined in section 6. Communicate details to the Principal Investigator at site, if appropriate. Maintain correspondence, chronological log if applicable and other documentation. Assess significance to procedure and/or systems.
6. SPECIFIC PROCEDURE

6.1 Initial Receipt of Information

Participant complaints may arise from a variety of sources, including participants themselves, relatives, participant representative (e.g. solicitor, advocate), Study Teams, or the Patient Advisory Liaison Service (PALS).

The initial recipient of a complaint should record and assess the complaint, generate a chronological log or equivalent and communicate to the CI without delay. The CI should assess the seriousness of the complaint, if not serious, acknowledge receipt as soon as possible, indicating when the complainant can expect further communication and make every effort to resolve the issue after preliminary investigation.

Any communication with the complainant should have oversight at a senior level.

If the complaint is defined as or becomes Serious (see section 4), the Sponsor should be notified immediately. Details and outcomes should be made available to relevant staff on a need-to-know basis.

- Any serious complaint must not be acknowledged without specific guidance from the Sponsor.
- All staff must be reminded of the need for confidentiality in communication and record keeping.
- Caution must be exercised when using any form of written communication (including text messages and emails) to the complainant.

Details of the complaint must be recorded and retained, with due respect to confidentiality, and with details of sender, addressee, date and time.

Any participant identifiers must be removed prior to complaint communication being forwarded from site.
6.2 Assessment and Management of Serious Complaints
In the event that the complaint is assessed as serious, regardless of resolution status, or if in any doubt as to how to resolve, the CI or delegate should inform the Sponsor immediately, who will then inform the Risk and Insurance Manager (Research) (RIM). A plan for the management of the complaint will be formulated, including target response times. The RIM and another representative of the Sponsor will be involved;
- at each stage of the complaint management process
- ensure timely actions and responses,
- will review; discuss with the CI and the insurer (where appropriate);
- and agree written communication with the complainant.
All communication updates must be recorded and filed as in 6.1 above. The RIM and/or the representative of the Sponsor must be involved in all communication with the CI or delegate.

6.3 Potential Serious Breach
In the event that a complaint highlights a potential serious breach, the University's Core SOP 'Serious Breach of Good Clinical Practice' should be followed.

7. RELATED DOCUMENTS
University of Oxford Core SOP 008 - Serious Breach of Good Clinical Practice

HRA website: http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/consent/content-sheet-support.html
MHRA website: http://www.mhra.gov.uk/#page=DynamicListMedicines
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