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STUDIES INVOLVING MAGNETOEENCEPHALOGRAPHIC (MEG) RECORDINGS FROM ADULT VOLUNTEERS

1. SCOPE

This approved procedure concerns the recording of magnetic signals from outside the head using a magnetoencephalography (MEG) brain scanner. The purpose of MEG experiments is to non-invasively explore the time courses and/or locations of human brain activity. Many MEG experiments use visual or auditory stimuli to investigate perceptual or cognitive processes; this approved procedure is for studies that do NOT use stimuli that will be emotionally upsetting, painful or harmful. This approved procedure is intended for cases where the participants are adult volunteers, not recruited through the NHS or because of any clinical condition.

MEG studies will frequently require participants to undergo structural and/or functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning in order to locate the brain structures that are the sources of recorded MEG signals. MRI scanning will take place in a separate visit, of maximum two hours duration, to the Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB), the Oxford Centre for Clinical Magnetic Resonance Research (OCMR) or the Oxford Centre for Human Brain Activity (OHBA) scanner, and will comply with the approved procedure described in CUREC_AP_IDREC_17. MRI and MEG are complementary, but different, brain scanning techniques; consequently, functional MRI scanning will aim to answer the same experimental questions as with MEG by using similar stimuli and tasks optimised for MRI. Similarly to the MEG data, the MRI data will not contain identifying information, but will be automatically assigned a code that links the data with the participant’s identity in a secure database that can only be accessed by a limited number of key personnel. Within a study, both the MEG and MRI data will be labelled with the participant’s ID code for that study, and in this way the data can be linked for analysis. Sometimes researchers want to use structural MRI data from previous studies at FMRIB, OCMR or OHBA; to enable this all sites have a standard operating procedure, which will be followed by researchers and requires informed consent from the participant.

MEG recording may also be combined with simultaneous electroencephalography (EEG) recording (see below); and/or with electromyogram (EMG); and/or with eye-movement recordings using an eye-tracker. Eye tracking is a safe and non-invasive technique, which utilizes infrared light and a standard digital camera to record instantaneous gaze directions.

MEG scanners allow researchers to view brain activity whilst a particular task is performed, showing both where and when different parts of the brain are active. The scanner measures the tiny magnetic fields generated by the electrical currents related to brain activity. MEG scanners allow the participant to either sit upright, or lie supine, with her/his head inside a helmet-shaped measurement device, which contains a sensor array.
The MEG scanner is housed in a magnetically shielded room as otherwise the magnetic fields in the environment would obscure the tiny magnetic fields produced by brain activity. MEG measurements can be affected by metal in the shielded room and so participants will be asked to remove metallic objects that they are carrying or wearing, for example, jewellery, body piercings, removable dental braces and clothing with metal parts. Participants who wear glasses will be given special non-metallic glasses to wear. In some cases, participants with metal in their body (e.g. plates, dental work, pacemakers) may not be suitable for MEG scanning as the collected data would be too noisy.

The ability to measure neural activity in awake, human participants is of great value in the study of several cognitive functions, such as perception, attention, and language processing. MEG provides millisecond time resolution, therefore, like EEG, the technique is particularly well-suited for studying time-courses of brain events. MEG can be used to record neural responses to specific perceptual, cognitive or motor events, or to record on-going neural activity during different types of psychological states, such as during attentive task performance or simply resting.

Unless doing simultaneous EEG-MEG, there is no need to place individual sensors directly on the scalp. The magnetic signals can be recorded with sensors that are simply very close to the head. Preparation requires about half an hour. As eye movements cause large signals in the MEG sensors, eye-movements are monitored by placing up to four electrodes above, below, and to the side of the eyes before the measurement. Depending on the specific research question, some additional electrodes may be used to record muscular activity or a heart signal.

Simultaneous EEG-MEG may be used when researchers wish to compare the two types of brain signal. The principle of EEG when done with MEG is the same as for standalone EEG; however, MEG compatible equipment must be used. This approved procedure fully covers simultaneous EEG-MEG and so it is not necessary for MEG researchers to also cite the approved procedure for standalone EEG (CUREC_AP_IDREC_03) recording. Conversely, researchers wanting to do standalone EEG without MEG should not cite this approved procedure.

Simultaneous EEG-MEG involves placing a snug fitting elasticated cap, which has electrodes mounted in it, on the participant’s head during the set-up procedure. This cap contains MEG compatible electrodes and is designed to integrate with the MEG electronics. The researcher then aims to minimise the impedance between the electrodes and the scalp. To visualise this, the MEG compatible cap is connected to a dedicated computer and specialist software displays the impedance for each electrode. Using abrasive gel and a blunt wooden stick, the researcher moves hair from under each electrode and rubs the scalp to remove dead layers of skin. This may feel unusual to the participant, but should not be uncomfortable. When satisfactory impedances are achieved, each electrode well is filled with electrolyte gel. Preparation with the EEG cap may increase preparation time by an hour.

To monitor the head position in the scanner, four indicator coils are placed on the participant’s head (on the forehead and behind the ears) and are spatially digitised. If EEG-MEG is being performed then the spatial location of each electrode is also digitised. The procedure does not cause pain or harm to the participant.

Following this the participant is taken into the scanner room to start the experiment. Researchers are trained to confirm with participants that they are comfortable in the scanner and with the experiment. The Oxford Centre for Human Brain Activity (OHBA) also contains a 'practice' scanner, which allows participants to get used to the process without using up valuable time on the active scanner.
2. **TRAINING OF RESEARCH STAFF**

Training in the use of the equipment and setting up the recording of individual participants should be given by an experienced researcher, and no inexperienced person should be left in sole charge of a MEG study.

3. **METHODS FOR RECRUITING PARTICIPANTS**

Participants for MEG studies are typically recruited via posters around the University, adverts in local media e.g. Daily Info, or the internet. It is acceptable to mention rewards in recruitment advertisements for this kind of study, where competent adults volunteer themselves to take part.

4. **INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PARTICIPANTS**

The specific details provided will vary depending on the study, but should always be on University Headed paper, showing the Departmental name and address.

The Information Sheet must be written in simple but non-patronising language. Most word-processing packages provide readability statistics for a document, and one should aim for a 12-year-old (Year 7) reading level for adults. Information sheets must be submitted with the CUREC 1 form for specific projects.

The information sheet will explain that the study carries no significant personal risk and that the data will be pseudo-anonymised from the start (see attached sample Participant Information Sheet). Pseudo-anonymised means that the dataset itself does not contain information sufficient to identify the participant. However, the code assigned to the dataset can be linked to the participant’s identity through a password protected database that can be accessed by a limited number of approved staff.

The information sheet explains the procedure that will be followed if abnormal neural activity is suspected.

If researchers require previously acquired MRI scans from FMRIB, OCMR or OHBA, the information sheet will explain this procedure.

In addition, a verbal explanation will be given to all participants by the researcher conducting the study, and participants will be given the opportunity to ask further questions about the study.

Please refer to the Information Sheet associated with this Approved Procedure.
5. **CONSENT OF PARTICIPANTS**

Written informed consent must be obtained from all participants using the Consent Form associated with this approved procedure. Consent will be obtained for each study by a researcher trained in taking informed consent.

For studies that require MRI scans for participants from previous studies, the consent form will include a statement that the participant agrees data from FMRIB, OCMR or OHBA may be used in conjunction with this study.

Participants will sign, print and date their names. The researchers who secure the consent will also sign, print and date their names.

Please refer to the Consent Form associated with this Approved Procedure.

Guidance on the informed consent process can be found at: [http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/curec/resources/informed-consent/](http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/curec/resources/informed-consent/)

6. **FINANCIAL AND OTHER REWARDS TO PARTICIPANTS**

Participants are typically rewarded with payments or vouchers for music or books to compensate them for the time spent in the study.

7. **POTENTIAL RISKS TO PARTICIPANTS/RESEARCHERS/OTHERS AND WHAT WILL BE DONE TO MINIMISE**

MEG and EEG recording has been used safely for many years. We are aware of no cases of adverse events. It should be stressed that this approved procedure involves purely the recording of weak, magnetic and electric signals: no magnetic field or electric current is applied to the brain, and no magnetic or electrical stimulation is involved. The MEG and EEG equipment comes from a certified supplier of medical equipment, who is obliged by law to adhere to published guidelines on electrical and mechanical safety (IEC-601).

During the session, participants are asked to indicate if they feel any discomfort, in which case the procedure is stopped. It is also possible to pause the procedure if a participant needs to take a break or, for example, if there is a fire alarm.

Brain signals vary widely from individual to individual. Researchers undertake not to make any judgemental comments on the signals seen in individual participants, to avoid causing unnecessary anxiety, for example, the researcher should not make a comment such as “you’ve only got very small brain responses”.

Electrodes, EEG caps and instruments used to abrade the scalp are soaked in disinfectant solution after each use. Participants often wash their hair to remove conductive gel at the end of the session, shampoo and freshly laundered towels are provided for this.

*Risks to researchers*

None identifiable.
8. **MONITORING AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE OR UNFORSEEN EVENTS**

If a participant should become unwell during the test session, the session will be terminated. Such a case would be reported in the Departmental Safety Book.

9. **COMMUNICATION OF RESULTS**

It is unlikely that results from MEG or EEG recordings will be meaningful to people other than the researchers. It is made clear on both the participant information sheet, and the consent form, that the MEG or EEG procedure is not for diagnostic purposes.

Results from individual participants should not be fed back to the participants, and this should be stated in the information sheet. However, wherever possible, researchers should provide feedback about the results from the study as a whole.

10. **DUTY OF CARE ISSUES / CONFIDENTIALITY**

All MEG and EEG studies using this approved procedure are for research only and will not be used to attempt any medical diagnosis. In the very unlikely event that a researcher observes pathological activity there is a standard operating procedure (SOP OHBA_0001) that will be applied.

11. **DATA PROTECTION ISSUES**

All MEG (with or without EEG) datasets are collected using the manufacturer’s ‘Patient’ settings (rather than the ‘Volunteer’ settings which would include the participant’s name and date of birth in the dataset). These ‘Patient’ settings give the dataset the highest available levels of security and confidentiality. The dataset is identified by a code number generated by the MEG system, e.g. case_0123, and the dataset includes information on the participant’s gender and handedness but not their name or date of birth.

Before recording a dataset, the MEG system requests input for a unique participant identifier; researchers input the participant’s name here. This unique identifier (name) is not saved within the structure of the dataset but is saved in a password protected database that can only be accessed by the study’s researchers and a limited number of key OHBA personnel. The dataset is assigned a number generated by the MEG system (e.g. case_0123, see above) and this can only be linked to the identity database through the password protected data acquisition computer.

In summary, the datasets are standalone files that are in effect anonymised. The participant can only be identified through the use of the secure database and this is restricted to key people. The database will be kept indefinitely as identification in the future may be required for medical reasons or if the participant consents to their historical data being linked.

Researchers are provided with information on the Data Protection Act before starting a study, and are given guidance from members of OHBA to ensure they adhere to the Act.
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The points below summarise the default data handling procedures:

- MEG (with or without EEG) data is collected as ‘Patient’.
- Files that link data to names are password protected and stored on a password protected computer. The MEG database is securely stored indefinitely but any other files are deleted as soon as not required.
- Consent forms, and if used screening forms, are stored by the researcher in a locked filing cabinet in a room that is locked when not in use. The standard storage of these documents is five years. These forms do not contain the participant’s study ID code or MEG system number.
- Participant contact details may be stored in a password protected file on a password protected computer when necessary, for example, if the participant has requested to receive a summary of the study results (personal results should not be sent out to participants). These details are not stored with the participant’s study ID code or MEG system number. These files are destroyed as soon as not required, one year after the end of the study is the standard maximum time.
- Researchers will ensure all participants are fully aware of how their data will be handled.
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